Indirect Reaction Studies: X-Ray Bursts

Indirect Reaction Studies: X-Ray Bursts



XRB Nucleosynthesis

Table 19. Summary of the most influential nuclear processes, as collected from Tables 1–10. These reactions affect the yields of, at least, 3 isotopes when their nominal rates are varied by a factor of 10 up and/or down. See text for details. Table 20. Nuclear processes affecting the total energy output the yield of at least one isotope, when their nominal rates au factor of 10 up and/or down, for the given model. S

Reaction	Models affected	Cu (29) 77303940 Ni (22) NNN 77303940
${}^{12}C(\alpha, \gamma){}^{16}O^{a}$	F08. K04-B2. K04-B4. K04-B5	Reaction Models affected Co(27)
¹⁸ Ne(<i>a</i> , p) ²¹ Na ^a	K04-B1 ^b	¹⁵ O(a x) ¹⁹ Na ⁴ K04 K04 R1 K04 R6 57(24)
${}^{25}Si(\alpha, p){}^{28}P$	K04-B5	V(3) (2) $V(3)$ (2)
$^{26g}Al(\alpha, p)^{29}Si$	Fos	$r_{Ne}(\alpha, p)^{-1}r_{Ne}$ K04-B1, N04-B0 $s_{c}(2)$ 112 $r_{c}^{2523723}$
$^{29}S(\alpha, p)^{32}Cl$	K04-B5	$^{22}Mg(\alpha, p)^{47}AI$ F08 K (19) K
${}^{30}P(\alpha, p){}^{23}S$	K04-B4	22 Al(p, γ) ²⁴ Si K04-B1
${}^{30}S(\alpha, p){}^{23}Cl$	K04-B4°, K04-B5°	$^{24}Mg(\alpha, p)^{27}Al^{a}$ K04-B2
$^{\text{at}}Cl(p, \gamma)^{\text{at}}Ar$	K04-B1	$^{289}Al(p, \gamma)^{27}Si^4$ F08 $Si^{(10)}$
$S(\alpha, \gamma)$ Ar $S(N_{1}(\alpha, \gamma))$	K04-B2 Solb Kot Dr	$^{28}Si(\alpha, n)^{31}D^{3}$ K04-B4 $M_{0}(22)$ 1518
⁵⁷ Cu(n, α) ⁵⁸ Zn	501°, K04-B5 F08	Si(a, p) I KALDA KALDS Na(1) 14
⁵⁰ Cu(p, γ) ⁶⁰ Zn	Sol ^b Kot-B5	5(c, p) or revealed, revealed in the revealed
${}^{61}Ga(p, \gamma){}^{62}Ge$	F08. K04-B1. K04-B2. K04-B5. K04-B6	$-C(p, \gamma)$ Ar $K04-B3$ $O(3)$
⁶⁵ As(p, γ) ⁶⁶ Se	K04 ^b , K04-B1, K04-B2 ^b , K04-B3 ^b , K04-B4, K04-B5, K04-B6	$S(\alpha, p)$ CI K04-B2
$^{60}Br(p, \gamma)^{70}Kr$	K04-B7	$^{35}Cl(p, \gamma)^{39}Ar^{4}$ K04-B2 $^{5(9)}$ Be(γ
$^{76}Rb(p, \gamma)^{76}Sr$	K04-B2	${}^{60}Ni(\alpha, p){}^{60}Cu$ S01 ${}^{11}H_{12}(2)$
82 Zr(p, $\gamma)$ ⁸³ Nb	K04-B6	$^{60}Cu(p, \gamma)^{60}Zn$ S01 H(1) H(2)
${}^{84}Zr(p, \gamma){}^{85}Nb$	K04-B2	$^{66}A_{8}(n, \gamma)^{66}S_{9}$ K04, K04-B2, K04-B3
$^{84}Nb(p, \gamma)^{85}Mo$	K04-B6	$\operatorname{sol}(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \operatorname{sol}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_2)$ and $\operatorname{sol}(\mu_2, \mu_2) = \operatorname{sol}(\mu_1, \mu_2)$
$^{80}Mo(p, \gamma)^{80}Tc$	F08	$H_1(p, q) = M_1 = 0$ for $p = 1$
$^{\infty}Mo(p, \gamma)^{\circ'}Tc$	F08, K04-B6	10 Br(p, γ) 10 Kr K04-B7
$^{-1}Mo(p, \gamma)^{-1}Ic$ $^{92}Du(p, \gamma)^{92}Db$	K04-B6 K04 D2 K04 D6	$\sin(\alpha, p)$ solutions solution solutions and solutions solutions solutions solutions and solutions solutions solutions solutions and solutions solutions solutions and and solutions and an
⁹⁸ Rh(p, γ) ⁹⁴ Pd	K04-B2	
${}^{96}Ag(p, \gamma)$ ${}^{97}Cd$	K04, K04-B2, K04-B3, K04-B7	^a Reaction experimentally constrained to better than a factor of \sim 10 at XRB temperatures.
$102 In(p, \gamma)^{103} Sn$	K04, K04-B3	See Section 5
$108 In(p, \gamma)^{104} Sn$	K04-B3, K04-B7	
106 Sn(α , p) 106 Sb	S01 ^b	A. Parikh <i>et al.</i> , ApJ SS 178 , 110 (2008).

- Sensitivity studies show 28 reactions that affect final elemental abundances and/or energy output
- New RIB facilities will allow access to more of these radioactive nuclei important in XRBs

XRB Waiting Points

 (α, p) process waiting points affect energy generation near the beginning of XRB nucleosynthesis final elemental abundances luminosity profile

Possible (α, p) process waiting points

²²Mg ²⁶Si ³⁰S ³⁴Ar





High-mass waiting points in XRBs determine shape of lightcurve tail

Main waiting points: ⁶⁴Ge, ⁶⁸Se, ⁷²Kr

Lifetimes well known, but not S_p's of Z+1 nuclei

 ^{69}Br and ^{73}Rb both experimentally known to have negative S_p, supporting ^{68}Se and ^{72}Kr as waiting points, respectively

S_p for ⁶⁵As is not well-known due to unknown mass of - is ⁶⁴Ge really a waiting point?

Indirect Studies of (α, p) Reactions

- Direct (α, p) reaction studies are hard!
 - high Coulomb barrier
 - gas targets
 - radioactive ion beams 2 nucleons away from stability
- At masses of A < 40, reaction rate is dominated by resonances
- Indirect measurements:
 - transfer reaction measurements with stable beams [e.g. (³He,n), (p,t), etc.]
 - elastic and in-elastic scattering
 - time-inverse reaction measurements
- Almost no direct measurements!



$$N_{A} \langle \sigma \mathbf{v} \rangle = \frac{1.5399 \times 10^{11}}{(\mu T_{9})^{3/2}} \sum_{i} (\omega \gamma)_{i} e^{-11.605 E_{i}/T_{9}}$$

$$\left(\omega\gamma\right)_{i} = \frac{\left(2J_{i}+1\right)}{\left(2J_{0}+1\right)\left(2J_{1}+1\right)}\left(1+\delta_{01}\right)\frac{\Gamma_{a}\Gamma_{b}}{\Gamma_{tot}}$$

Reverse Reaction Studies of (α, p) Reactions

- Time reverse reactions can be used to study (α, p) reactions
 - solid CH₂ target
 - RIB closer to stability
 - ground state \rightarrow ground state transitions only
- Cross section can be converted into timereverse reaction cross section via detailed balance/reciprocal equation

$$\frac{\sigma_{Aa}}{\sigma_{Bb}} = \frac{m_B m_b E_{Bb} (2J_B + 1) (2J_b + 1) (1 + \delta_{Aa})}{m_A m_a E_{Aa} (2J_A + 1) (2J_a + 1) (1 + \delta_{Bb})}$$



Reverse Reaction Studies of (α, p) Reactions

- Time reverse reactions can be used to study (α, p) reactions
 - solid CH₂ target
 - RIB closer to stability
 - ground state \rightarrow ground state transitions only
- Cross section can be converted into timereverse reaction cross section via detailed balance/reciprocal equation





Direct (α,p) measurements



Direct Studies of (\alpha,p) Reactions

- (α, p) reactions can be studied directly:
 - radioactive ion beams
 - ⁴He gas target
 - inverse kinematics techniques
- HELIOS with in-flight beams at ANL
 - gas target
 - high rate ionization chamber for coincidence measurement
- ¹⁴C(*d*,*p*)¹⁵C commissioning run with full setup:





Direct Studies of (\alpha,p) Reactions

- (α, p) reactions can be studied directly:
 - radioactive ion beams
 - ⁴He gas target
 - inverse kinematics techniques
- HELIOS with in-flight beams at ANL
 - gas target
 - high rate ionization chamber for coincidence measurement

⁴He(³⁴Ar,p)³⁷K gs
⁴He(³⁴Ar,p)³⁷K 3 MeV

Particle	р	³ He	d,⁴He	t
TOF(ns)	21.9	32.8	43.7	65.6





Δ

O Direct (α , p) studies with ANASEN

- Array for Nuclear Astrophysics and Structure with Exotic Nuclei (ANASEN)
- Active target detector
 - gas is both target and detector!
- Measures entire excitation function in one bite
- Preliminary data:
 - ${}^{14}N(\alpha, p){}^{17}O$ (stable beam test)
 - ${}^{18}F(\alpha, p){}^{21}Ne$ (CNO breakout to r*p*-process)







19 Anode PC

O Direct (α , p) studies with ANASEN

- Array for Nuclear Astrophysics and Structure with Exotic Nuclei (ANASEN)
- Active target detector
 - gas is both target and detector!
- Measures entire excitation function in one bite
- Preliminary data:
 - ${}^{14}N(\alpha, p){}^{17}O$ (stable beam test)
 - ${}^{18}F(\alpha, p){}^{21}Ne$ (CNO breakout to rp-process)











- Mass measurement of ⁶⁵As done at Lanzhou with the HIRFL-CSR (Cooler-Storage Ring)
- Projectile fragmentation of ⁷⁸Kr
- S_p(⁶⁵As) = -90(85) keV: confirms ⁶⁵As is protonunbound at 68.3% C.L. Coulomb Displacement Energy (CDE) calculations predictive defines when ⁶⁴Ge is a w. p.

Effect of new ⁶⁵As mass on XRB light curve



r-process site(s)

- *r*-process site unknown!!! (CCSNe? Neutron star mergers? Other?)
- Disagreement of Z < 56 abundances suggests multiple sites for the r-process
- New processes (e.g. LEPP) to explain abundances of A<120
- Site also dictates the *r*-process path
 - hot r-process
 - cold *r*-process





C. Sneden, J.J. Cowan, and R. Gallino, ARAA 46, 241 (2008).

Nuclear data needed:

- nuclear masses
- decay lifetimes
- P_n values
- (n, γ) reaction rates

r-process Nucleosynthesis

Nucleosynthesis in the r-process



r-process nuclei



Number of Neutrons (N)

Number of Protons (Z)

Courtesy M. Mumpower

Hot r-process Sensitivity Studies



Cold r-process Sensitivity Studies



M. Mumpower

(n, γ) reaction rates

- In the *r*-process (*n*, γ) reactions often occur on *very* neutron rich nuclei
- Can you use a radioactive ion beam on a neutron target??
 - No! Neutrons are unstable!!
- Surrogate reactions [e.g. (*d*, *p*γ)] must be used to for indirect reaction rate determinations:
 - example: ¹³⁰Sn(*d*,*p*)¹³¹Sn @ ORNL with ORRUBA





R. L. Kozub et al., PRL 109, 172501 (2012)

(n, γ) reaction rates

404 keV (3/2⁻) In the *r*-process (n, γ) reactions often ٠ 100 3986 keV occur on very neutron rich nuclei 2628 keV (7/2-4655 keV (5/2⁻) 80 Counts Can you use a radioactive ion beam on a 60 neutron target?? No! Neutrons are unstable!! võ 40 Q Surrogate reactions [e.g. $(d, p\gamma)$] must be Gammasphere used to for indirect reaction rate determinations: - example: 130 Sn(d,p) 131 Sn @ ORNL with ORRUBA Bear **ORRUBA** detectors Preamp feedthrough boards The future: ORRUBA @ Gammasphere → GODDESS Re-entrant ion counter **ORRUBA** preamps

S. D. Pain, AIP Advances 4, 041015 (2014)

Theoretical Masses



M. Mumpower

Courtesy J. Clark

Effects of theoretical masses on *r*-process abundances



A. Arcones and G. Martinez-Pinedo, Phys. Rev C 83, 045809 (2011).

Measuring *r*-process Masses

- What do we need to measure neutron rich nuclear masses?
 - neutron-rich nuclei

'Stopped'

beam

experimental

area

- measurement device
 - measure small number of nuclei • accurately

Transport

platform

Low energy beam

cask



Upgrade

To ECR charge breeder

Measuring *r*-process Masses

- What do we need to measure neutron rich nuclear masses?
 - neutron-rich nuclei
 - measurement device
 - measure small number of nuclei accurately

Penning Traps







r-process Mass Measurements

Mass measurements of r-process nuclei have now been made with a variety of Penning traps:

- > CPT/CARIBU (ANL)
- JYFLTRAP (Jyväskylä)
- TITAN (TRIUMF)



J. van Schelt *et al.*, PRC **85**, 045805 (2012).



J. Hakala et al., PRL 109, 032501 (2012).



V. V. Simon *et al.*, PRC **85**, 064308 (2012).

β -decay Lifetime Measurements

- Theoretical *r*-process yields underpredict abundances in A = 110 – 125 region
- Mass models attempting to explain this difference in terms of shell closures
- Systematic study of β -decay lifetimes done a RIBF at RIKEN via in-flight fission of ²³⁸U on Be target
- New lifetimes included in magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) supernova models
- New lifetimes alleviate discrepan somewhat, but disagreements st exits
 - more physics needed? different physics needed?



S. Nishimura et al., PRL 106, 052502 (2011).



Things I didn't discuss

- Concepts:
 - electron screening
 - interference with broad resonances
 - R-matrix
 - ANCs
 - *p*-process, *s*-process, *vp*-process
 - etc.
- Experimental methods
 - elastic and inelastic scattering
 - Atomic Mass Spectroscopy
 - Bubble chambers
 - etc.

Still MUCH to do!!

- Low energy, low background direct reaction measurements with high beam intensities
- Proton-rich reaction rate measurements for *rp*-process
 - indirect and direct
 - stable and radioactive ion beams
- Neutron-rich mass and lifetime measurements for the *r*-process
- Surrogate reactions for (n, γ)
- Non-experimental needs:
 - Improved stellar modeling
 - Observations of specific isotopes (not just elements)

Resources

• Historical reading:

 B²FH: Burbidge, Burbidge, Fowler and Hoyle, Rev. Mod. Phys. 29, 15 (1957)

- Textbooks:
 - Rolfs & Rodney, Cauldrons of the Cosmos, Cambridge University Press (1988)
 - C. Iliadis, Nuclear Physics of Stars, Wiley (2007)
 - I. Thompson & F. Nunes, Nuclear Reactions for Astrophysics, Cambridge University Press (2009)

Thanks for your attention!!