

# HiRA Upgrade -- Instrumentation for FRIB

---

- 1) What is the primary physics motivation and experimental capability of the proposed instrument and why is this important for FRIB science?

The High Resolution Array, HiRA and its potential upgrades would allow high resolution measurements of direct reactions, resonance spectroscopy, and reaction mechanisms with the fast beams at FRIB. HiRA currently provides such capabilities at the CCF/NSCL facility, but its resolution and efficiency can be improved with suitable upgrades.

- 2) What are the unique capabilities of this device that are not available in existing equipment? Is this instrument stand alone or is it to be used (solely or partially) in conjunction with other instruments. Could it be used at NSCL or other laboratories before FRIB?

This device can be used both as a stand alone device or in conjunction with other devices such as the S800 Spectrometer, the ATTPC, or other devices. It is portable and has been used at the NSCL and at the Cyclotron Institute at Texas A&M.

- 3) Describe the instrument in some detail – how does it meet the scientific requirements and what are the (estimated) performance specifications? Be brief but as detailed as you can. Is the design fixed or are multiple options still being discussed and encouraged?

Currently, HiRA consists of 20 silicon, silicon, CsI(Tl) telescopes, with thicknesses of 65 $\mu$ m, 1.5 mm and 4.0 cm, respectively, a pitch of 2 mm and an active area of 6.4x6.4 cm<sup>2</sup> per telescope. It allows transfer reactions to be measured in inverse kinematics with a maximum geometrical efficiency of about 65%. The number of telescopes, however, is insufficient to cover the total available solid angle with this efficiency and the typical coverage is closer to 30% in order to optimize angular resolutions. Increasing the number of silicon detectors and optimizing the design for a single distance could close to double the solid angle coverage, while maintaining its resolution. The design has not been fixed, and several options will be considered. One important change can be to provide several alternative choices for the CsI(Tl) detectors that back the strip detectors of the array. Changes in the CsI(Tl) detectors geometry can provide an increase in the granularity for penetrating particles and an improved efficiency for decay spectroscopy. Alternative geometries with reduced granularity can improve the efficiency for direct reactions investigations.

- 4) What is the current stage of development of your project ?

Different options are being considered and discussed, but have not crystallized in a design.

- 5) What is the approximate cost of the project: discuss possible sources of funding.

An upgraded HiRA would cost about \$500k based on the present price of silicon and CsI(Tl) detectors and their electronics.

- 6) Please provide a brief list of collaborators and institutions. Spokesperson(s) provide contact info.

Michigan State University - William Lynch, Betty Tsang  
Washington University - Lee Sobotka, Robert Charity, Jon Elson  
Indiana University - Romualdo de Souza, Silvie Hudan  
Western Michigan University - Michael Famiano  
Southern University at Edwardsville - George Engel.

- 7) Please can you outline how your collaboration has been developing your project and how you are growing your collaboration (How many meetings? Participants?, Circular mailings? Have you a web-site?)

HiRA is a working collaboration with regular meetings and experiments. The HiRA web-site can be found at: <http://groups.nsl.msu.edu/hira/>

- 8) Did you consider alternative designs? What alternatives were considered? How did you arrive at a final design?

We are considering alternative designs.

- 9) What existing equipment exists in the US Community that has similar goals and characteristics, even if inferior in performance.

The present HiRA array exists. There is also the ORRUBA being developed jointly by Rutgers University and Oak Ridge National Laboratory and the ANASEN array being developed jointly by Louisiana State University and Florida State University. Both devices are designed for low energy measurements with reaccelerated beams. Neither device is suitable for fast beams at FRIB.